

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/720,477	TESHIMA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Charles G. Freay	3746	

All Participants:

(1) Charles G. Freay.

(2) Michael Makuch.

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 July 2006
Time: 2:00 pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

claims 2 and 7

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The applicant agreed to the changes as set forth in the Examiner's Amendment. The changes were made to correct minor antecedent basis errors created by the amendment of the claims.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)